arXiv:math/0503379v4 [math.NT] 24 Feb 2006

Invariant triple products

Anton Deitmar

2005

Contents

1 Representations and Integral formulae **2**

2 Trilinear products **4**

3 An explicit formula **15**

A Automorphic coefficients **21**

Introduction

Let $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and let π_1, π_2, π_3 be irreducible admissible smooth representations of G. The the space of G-invariant trilinear forms on $\pi_1 \times \pi_2 \times \pi_3$ is at most one dimensional. This has, in different contexts, been proved by Loke [5], Molchanov [6] and Oksak [7]. In this paper we ask for such a uniqueness result in the context of arbitrary semisimple groups. We show that for a given group G uniqueness can only hold if G is locally a product of hyperbolic groups. For such groups we show uniqueness and for spherical vectors we compute the invariant triple products explicitly.

The PGL_2-result has been used in [1] to derive new bounds for automorphic L^2-coefficients. This can also be done for higher dimensional hyperbolic groups, but, with the exception of the case treated in [1], the results do not exceed those in [4]. For completeness we include these computations in an appendix.

I thank J. Hilgert and A. Reznikov for helpful comments on the subject of this paper.

1 Representations and Integral formulae

Let G be a connected semisimple Lie group with finite center. Fix a maximal compact subgroup K. Let $\hat{G}$ and $\hat{K}$ denote their unitary duals, i.e., the sets of isomorphism classes of irreducible unitary representations of G resp. K. Let π be a continuous representation of G on a locally convex topological vector space V_π. Let V_π' be the space of all continuous linear forms on V_π and let V_π^∞ be the space of *smooth vectors*, i.e.,

$$V_\pi^\infty = \{v \in V_\pi : x \mapsto \alpha(\pi(x)v) \text{ is smooth } \forall \alpha \in V_\pi'\}.$$

The representation π is called *smooth* if $V_\pi = V_\pi^\infty$.

A representation (π, V_π) is called *admissible* if for each $\tau \in \hat{K}$ the space $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V_\tau, V_\pi)$ is finite dimensional. Let $\hat{G}_{\mathrm{adm}}$ be the *admissible dual*, i.e., the set of infinitesimal isomorphism classes of irreducible admissible representations. A representation π in $\hat{G}_{\mathrm{adm}}$ is called a *class one* representation if it contains K-invariant vectors. In that case the space V_π^K of K-invariant vectors is one-dimensional.

The Iwasawa decomposition $G = ANK$ gives smooth maps

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{a}&\colon G \to A \\ \underline{n}&\colon G \to N \\ \underline{k}&\colon G \to K \end{aligned}$$

such that for every $x \in G$ one has $x = \underline{a}(x)\underline{n}(x)\underline{k}(x)$. As an abbreviation we also define $\underline{an}(x) = \underline{a}(x)\underline{n}(x)$. Let $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{R}$ denote the Lie algebras of G, A, N, K and let $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{k}$ be their complexifications.

For $x \in G$ and $k \in K$ we define

$$k^x \overset{\text{def}}{=} \underline{k}(kx).$$

Lemma 1.1 *The rule $k \mapsto k^x$ defines a smooth (right) group action of G on K.*

Proof: The map $\underline{k}$ gives a diffeomorphism $AN\backslash G \to K$ and the action under consideration is just the natural right action of G on $AN\backslash G$. $\square$

Lemma 1.2 *For $f \in C(K)$ and $y \in G$ we have the integral formula*

$$\int_K f(k)\, dk = \int_K \underline{a}(ky)^{2\rho}\, f(k^y)\, dk,$$

or,

$$\int_K f(k^y)\, dk = \int_K \underline{a}(ky^{-1})^{2\rho}\, f(k)\, dk.$$

Here $\rho \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ is the modular shift, i.e., $a^{2\rho} = \det(a|\mathfrak{n})$.

Proof: The Iwasawa integral formula implies

$$\int_G g(x)\, dx = \int_{AN} \int_K g(ank)\, dk\, dan.$$

Let $f \in C(K)$ and choose a function $\eta \in C_c(AN)$ such that $\eta \geq 0$ and $\int_{AN} \eta(an)\, dadn = 1$. Let $g(x) = \eta(\underline{an}(x)) f(\underline{k}(x)$. Then $g \in C_c(G)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G g(x)\, dx &= \int_{AN} \eta(an)\, dan \int_K f(k)\, dk \\ &= \int_K f(k)\, dk. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since G is unimodular, this also equals

$$\begin{aligned}\int_G g(xy)\,dx &= \int_G \eta(\underline{an}(xy))f(\underline{k}(xy))\,dx\\ &= \int_{AN}\int_K \eta(\underline{an}(anky))f(\underline{k}(ky))\,dank\\ &= \int_K\left(\int_{AN}\eta(\underline{an}(anky))\,dan\right)f(k^y)\,dk\\ &= \int_K\left(\int_{AN}\eta(an\underline{an}(ky))\,dan\right)f(k^y)\,dk\\ &= \int_K \underline{a}(ky)^{2\rho}\left(\int_{AN}\eta(an)\,dan\right)f(k^y)\,dk\\ &= \int_K \underline{a}(ky)^{2\rho}f(k^y)\,dk.\end{aligned}$$

The second assertion follows from the first by replacing f with $\tilde{f}(k) = f(k^y)$ and then y with y^{-1}. □

The inclusion map $K \hookrightarrow G$ induces a diffeomorphism $M\backslash K \to P\backslash G$ and in this way we get a smooth G-action on $M\backslash K$. An inspection shows that this action is given by $Mk \mapsto Mk^x$ for $k \in K$, $x \in G$.

2 Trilinear products

Let π_1, π_2, π_3 be three admissible smooth representations of the group G and let $\mathcal{T}\colon V_{\pi_1} \times V_{\pi_2} \times V_{\pi_3} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous G-invariant trilinear form, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{T}(\pi_1(x)v_1, \pi_2(x)v_2, \pi_3(x)v_3) = \mathcal{T}(v_1, v_2, v_3)$$

for all $v_j \in V_{\pi_j}$ and every $x \in G$.

We want to understand the space of all trilinear forms $\mathcal{T}$ as above. Since any irreducible representation is a quotient as well as a sub-representation of a principal series representation it suffices to assume that the π_j are principal series representations. This means that there are given a minimal parabolic $P = MAN$, irrudicible representations $\sigma_j \in \hat{M}$, and $\lambda_j \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$.

Each pair (σ_j, λ_j) induces a continuous group homomorphism $P \to \mathrm{GL}(V_{\sigma_j})$ by $man \mapsto a^{\lambda_j+\rho}\sigma(m)$, which in turn defines a G-homogeneous vector bundle E_{σ_j,λ_j} over $P\backslash G$. The representation π_j is the G-representation on the space of smooth sections $\Gamma^\infty(E_{\sigma_j,\lambda_j})$ of that bundle. In other words, π_j lives on the space of all C^∞ functions $f\colon G \to V_{\sigma_j}$ with

$$f(manx) = a^{\lambda_j+\rho}\sigma_j(m)f(x)$$

for all $m \in M$, $a \in A$, $n \in N$, $x \in G$. The representation π_j is defined by $\pi_j(y)f(x) = f(xy)$. Every such f is uniquely determined by its restriction to K which satisfies $f(mk) = \sigma_j(m)f(k)$, i.e., f is a section of the K-homogeneous bundle E_{σ_j} on $M\backslash K$ induced by σ_j. So the representation space can be identified with $V_{\pi_j} \cong \Gamma^\infty(E_{\sigma_j})$. Thus a trilinear form $\mathcal{T}$ is a distribution on the vector bundle $E_\sigma = E_{\sigma_1} \boxtimes E_{\sigma_2} \boxtimes E_{\sigma_3}$ over $M\backslash K \times M\backslash K \times M\backslash K$. Here $\boxtimes$ denotes the outer tensor product.

For $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in C^\infty(M\backslash K)$ we write $\mathcal{T}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ for the expression

$$\int_{M\backslash K\times M\backslash K\times M\backslash K} \phi(k_1, k_2, k_3)\ [f_1(k_1) \boxtimes f_2(k_2) \boxtimes f_3(k_3)]\ dk_1\, dk_2\, dk_3,$$

where ϕ is the kernel of $\mathcal{T}$.

The group G is called a *real hyperbolic group* if it is locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(d, 1)$ for some $d \geq 2$.

On $Y = (P\backslash G)^3$ we consider the G^3-homogeneous vector bundle $E_{\sigma,\lambda}$ given by $E_{\sigma,\lambda} = E_{\sigma_1,\lambda_1} \boxtimes E_{\sigma_2,\lambda_2} \boxtimes E_{\sigma_3,\lambda_3}$. Next Y can be viewed as a G-space via the diagonal action and so $E_{\sigma,\lambda}$ becomes a G-homogeneous line bundle on Y.

Theorem 2.1 *Let Y be the G-space $(P\backslash G)^3$. If there is an open G-orbit in Y, then the dimension of the space of invariant trilinear forms on smooth principal series representations is less than or equal to*

$$\sum_o \dim(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_3)^{M_o},$$

where the sum runs over all open orbits o and M_o is the stabilizer group of a point in the orbit o which is chosen so that M_o is a subgroup of M. If all induction parameters are imaginary (unitary induction), then there is equality.

In particular, if π_1, π_2, π_3 *are class one representations, then the dimension is less than or equal to the number of open orbits in* Y.

There is an open orbit if and only if G *is locally isomorphic to a product of hyperbolic groups.*

For $G = \mathrm{SO}(2,1)$ *the number of open orbits is* 2*, for* $G = \mathrm{SO}(d,1)$*,* $d \geq 3$*, the number is* 1.

The Proof is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 *Let* G *be a Lie group and* H *a closed subgroup. Let* $X = G/H$ *and let* $E \rightarrow X$ *be a smooth* G*-homogeneous vector bundle. Let* $\mathcal{T}$ *be a distribution on* E*, i.e. a continuous linear form on* $\Gamma_c^\infty(E)$*. Suppose that* $\mathcal{T}$ *is* G*-invariant, i.e.,* $\mathcal{T}(g.s) = \mathcal{T}(s)$ *for every* $s \in \Gamma_c^\infty(E)$*. Then* $\mathcal{T}$ *is given by a smooth* G*-invariant section of the dual bundle* E^*.

Let (σ, V_σ) *be the representation of* H *on the fibre* E_{eH} *and let* (σ^*, V_{σ^*}) *be its dual. Then the space of all* G*-invariant distributions on* E *has dimension equal to the dimension* $\dim V_{\sigma^*}^H$ *of* H*-invariants. So in particular, if* σ *is irreducible, this dimension is zero unless* σ *is trivial, in which case the dimension is one.*

Proof: The equation $\mathcal{T}(g.s) = \mathcal{T}(s)$, i.e., $g.\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}\ \forall g \in G$ implies $X.\mathcal{T} = 0$ for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R}$, the real Lie algebra of G. Let $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}$ be the Lie algebra of H and choose a complementary space $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{R}$ for $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R} = \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{R}$. Let $X_1, \dots, X_n$ be a basis of V and let

$$D = X_1^2 + X_2^2 + \dots + X_n^2 \ \in\ \mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R}).$$

We show that D induces an elliptic differential operator on E. By G-homogeneity, it suffices to show this at a single point. So let $P = \exp(\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{R})$. In a neighbourhood U of the unit in G there are smooth maps $\underline{h} : U \rightarrow H$ and $\underline{p} : U \rightarrow P$ such that $\underline{h}(x)\underline{p}(x) = x$ for $x \in U$.

The sections of E can be identified with the smooth maps $s : G \rightarrow V_\sigma$ with $s(hx) = \sigma(h)s(x)$ for $h \in H$ and $x \in G$. We can attach to each section s a map f_s on $\mathfrak{p}$ with values in V_σ by $f_s(Y) = s(\exp(Y))$. The action of $X \in \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{R}$

on the section s is described by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{Xs}(Y) &= \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} s(\exp(Y)\exp(tX)) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} \sigma(\underline{h}(\exp(Y)\exp(tX))\, s(\underline{p}(\exp(Y)\exp((tX)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $A_X(Y) = \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0}\sigma(\underline{h}(\exp(Y)\exp(tX)) \in \mathrm{End}(V_\sigma)$. Then the Leibniz rule implies

$$f_{Xs}(Y) = A_X(Y) f_s(Y) + X f_s(Y).$$

The first summand is of order zero and the second of order one. Moreover, the second summand at $Y = 0$ coincides with the coordinate-derivative in the direction of X. This implies that the leading symbol of D at eH is $\xi_1^2 + \cdots + \xi_n^2$ and so D is elliptic. The distributional equation $D\mathcal{T} = 0$ then implies that $\mathcal{T}$ is given by a smooth section.

For the second assertion of the lemma recall that a G-invariant section is uniquely determined by its restiction to the point eH which must be invariant under H. $\square$

For the proof of the theorem we will need to investigate the G-orbit structure of $Y = (P\backslash G)^3$. First note that since the map $Mk \mapsto Pk$ is a K-isomorphism from $M\backslash K$ to $P\backslash G$, the K-orbit of every $y \in Y$ contains an element of the form $(y_1, y_2, 1)$. Hence the $P = MAN$-orbit structure of $(P\backslash G)^2$ is the same as the G-orbit structure of Y. By the Bruhat decomposition, the P-orbits in $P\backslash G$ are parametrized by the Weyl group $W = W(G, A)$, where the unique open orbit is given by Pw_0P, here w_0 is the long element of the Weyl group. Note that the P-stabilizer of $Pw_0 \in P\backslash G$ equals AM. This implies that the G-orbits in Y of maximal dimension are in bijection to the AM-orbits in $P\backslash G$ of maximal dimension via the map $PxAM \mapsto (x, w_0, 1).G$. Again by Bruhat decomposition it follows that the latter are contained in the open cell $Pw_0P = Pw_0N$. So the G-orbits of maximal dimension in Y are in bijection to the AM-orbits in N of maximal dimension, where AM acts via the adjoint action. The exponential map $\exp\colon \mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{R} \to N$ is an AM-equivariant bijection, so we are finally looking for the AM-orbit structure of the linear adjoint action on $\mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{R}$.

We will now prove that there is an open orbit if and only if G is locally a product of real hyperbolic groups. So suppose that Y contains an open orbit.

Then $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{R}}$ contains an open AM-orbit, say $AM.X_0$. Let ϕ^+ be the set of all positive restricted roots on $\mathfrak{a} = \mathrm{Lie}(A)$. Decompose $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{R}}$ into the root spaces

$$\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \phi^+} \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{R},\alpha}.$$

On each $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{R},\alpha}$ install an M-invariant norm $\|.\|_\alpha$. This is possible since M is compact. Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \colon \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{R}} &\to \prod_{\alpha \in \phi^+} \mathbb{R} \\ x &\mapsto \prod_{\alpha} \|x\|_\alpha . \end{aligned}$$

Since the orbit $AM.X_0$ is open, the image $\psi(AM.X_0)$ of the orbit must contain a nonempty open set. Away from the set $\{X \in \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{R}} : \exists \alpha : \|x\|_\alpha = 0\}$ the map ψ can be chosen differentiable. Since the norms are invariant under M, one gets a smooth map

$$\begin{aligned} A &\to \mathbb{R}^{|\phi^+|} \\ a &\mapsto \psi(a.X_0), \end{aligned}$$

whose image contains an open set. This can only happen if the dimension of A is at least as big as $|\phi^+|$ and the latter implies that G is locally a product of real rank one groups. Now by Araki's table ([2], pp. 532-534) one knows that these real rank one groups must all be hyperbolic, because otherwise there would be two different root lengths.

For the converse direction let G be locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(d,1)$. We have to show that there is an open AM-orbit in $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{R}}$. This, however, is clear as the action of AM on $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the natural action of $\mathbb{R}_+^\times \times \mathrm{SO}(d-1)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, hence there are two orbits, the zero orbit and one open orbit.

We will now show that if there is an open orbit, then there are no invariant distributions supported on lower dimensional orbits. For this it suffices to consider the case $G = \mathrm{SO}^0(d,1)$. For simplicity, we only consider the trivial bundle, i.e., functions instead of sections. The general case is similar. The orbit structure is as follows. For $d = 2$ the group $M = \mathrm{SO}(d-1)$ is trivial and so there are two open AM-orbits in $N \cong \mathbb{R}$ in this case. If $d > 2$ there is only one open orbit. Since the proof is very similar in the case $d = 2$ we will now retrict ourselves to the case $d > 2$. The open orbit $[w_0 n_0, w_0, 1]$, given by some $n_0 \in N$, contains in its closure the orbits $[w_0, w_0, 1]$ and $[w_0, 1, 1]$ which in turn contain in their closures the orbit $[1, 1, 1]$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & [w_0 n_0, w_0, 1] & & \\ & \cup & & \cup & \\ [w_0, w_0, 1] & & & & [w_0, 1, 1] \\ & \cup & & \cup & \\ & & [1, 1, 1] & & \end{array}$$

Let $\mathcal{O} = [w_0 n_0, w_0, 1]$ be the open orbit. Let $x_1 = (w_0, w_0, 1)$, then one has $[w_0, w_0, 1] = x_1.G$. Consider $A \cong \mathbb{R}_+^\times$ as a subset of $\mathbb{R}$ suitably normalised, then one can write

$$x_1 = \lim_{a \to 0} x_0.am.$$

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a G-invariant distribution supported on the closure of the orbit of x_1. Since $\mathcal{T}$ is G-invariant, it satisfies $X.\mathcal{T} = 0$ for everey $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. Hence the wave front set $WF(\mathcal{T}) \subset T^*Y$ is a G-invariant subset of the normal bundle of the manifold $x_1.G$. This implies that $\mathcal{T}$ is of order zero along the manifold $x_1.G$. By the G-invariance it follows that $\mathcal{T}$ is of the form

$$\mathcal{T}(f) = \int_{x_1.G} D({}^x f)(x_1)\, dx + R,$$

where R is supported in $[1, 1, 1]$. Further, D is a differential which we can assume to be G-equivariant. Then $D(f)(x_1)$ is of the form

$$D_1(m) f(x_0.am)|_{a=0},$$

where $D_1(m)$ is a differential operator in the variable a. Since D is G-equivariant, we may replace f with ${}^{a_0} f$ for some $a_0 \in A$. Since $x_1 a_0 = x_1$ we get that the above is the same as

$$D_1(m) f(x_0.aa_0 m)|_{a=0}.$$

This implies that D_1 must be of order zero and so $\mathcal{T}$ is of order zero. Restricted to the orbit $x_1.G \cong AM\backslash G$ the distribution $\mathcal{T}$ is given by an integral of the form $\int_{AM\backslash G} \phi(y) f(x_1 y)\, dy$. (Note that we use the notation without the dot again.) Invariance implies that ϕ is constant. If $\mathcal{T}$ is non-zero, then $y \mapsto f(x_1 y)$ must be left invariant under AM, which implies $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 + \rho = 0$. But then the distribution does not extend to all of Y as the integral will not converge if f is non-zero in $(1,1,1)$. So $\mathcal{T}$ must be zero. This shows that any invariant distribution which is zero on the open orbit also vanishes on $x_1.G$. The remaining orbits are dealt with in a similar fashion. To prove the Theorem, it remains to show the existence of invariant distributions. For this we change our point of view and consider sections of L_λ no longer as functions on Y, but as functions on G^3 whith values in $V_\sigma = V_{\sigma_1} \otimes V_{\sigma_2} \otimes V_{\sigma_3}$ which spit out $a^{\lambda+\rho}\sigma(m)$ on the left. We induce this in the notation by writing $f(x_0 y)$ instead of $f(x_0.y)$. On a given orbit of maximal dimension, there is a standard invariant distribution which, by the lemma, is unique up to scalars and given by

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}} f = \alpha \left(\int_G f(x_0 y)\, dy \right),$$

where α is a linear functional on the space of M_o-invariants. In order to show that this extends to a distribution on Y, we need to show that the defining integral converges for all $f \in \Gamma^\infty(E_{\sigma,\lambda})$. This integral equals

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G f(x_0 y)\, dy &= \int_G f(w_0 n_0 y, w_0 y, y)\, dy \\ &= \int_G \underline{a}(w_0 n_0 y)^{\rho+\lambda_1} \underline{a}(w_0 y)^{\rho+\lambda_2} \underline{a}(y)^{\rho+\lambda_3} f(\underline{k}(x_0 y))\, dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since f is bounded on K^3, it suffices to show the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3 *Let $G = \mathrm{SO}(d,1)^0$ and let $k_0 = \underline{k}(w_0 n_0)$. Then*

$$\int_G \underline{a}(w_0 n_0 y)^\rho \underline{a}(w_0 y)^\rho \underline{a}(y)^\rho \, dy \;<\; \infty.$$

Conjecture 2.4 *The assertion of the lemma should hold for any semisimple group G with finite center and $n_0 \in N$ generic.*

The conjecture would imply that if G is not locally a product of hyperbolic groups, then the space of invariant trilinear forms on principal series representations is infinite dimensional.

Proof of Lemma 2.3: Replace the integral over G by an integral over ANK using the Iwasawa decomposition. Since $\underline{a}(xk) = \underline{a}(x)$ for $x \in G$ and $k \in K$, the K-factor is irrelevant and we have to show that

$$\int_{AN} \underline{a}(k_0 an)^\rho \underline{a}(w_0 an)^\rho a^\rho \, da \, dn \ < \ \infty.$$

Now write $w_0 n_0 = a'n'k_0$. Then $k_0 an = (a'n')^{-1} w_0 n_0 an$ and therefore $\underline{a}(k_0 an) = (a')^{-1} \underline{a}(w_0 n_0 an)$, so it suffices to show

$$\int_{AN} \underline{a}(w_0 n_0 an)^\rho \underline{a}(w_0 an)^\rho a^\rho \, da \, dn \ < \ \infty.$$

Next note that $w_0 a = a^{-1} w_0$ and so we have $\underline{a}(w_0 an)^\rho = a^{-\rho} \underline{a}(w_0 n)^\rho$ as well as $\underline{a}(w_0 n_0 an)^\rho = a^{-\rho} \underline{a}(w_0 n_0^a n)^\rho$, where $n_0^a = a^{-1} n_0 a$. We need to show

$$\int_{AN} \underline{a}(w_0 n_0^a n)^\rho \underline{a}(w_0 n)^\rho a^{-\rho} \, da \, dn \ < \ \infty.$$

This is the point where we have to make things more concrete. Let J be the diagonal $(d+1) \times (d+1)$-matrix with diagonal entries $(1, \dots, 1, -1)$. Then $\mathrm{SO}(d,1)$ is the group of real matrices g with $g^t J g = J$. Writing $g = \begin{pmatrix} A & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ with $A \in \mathrm{Mat}_d(\mathbb{R})$, this amounts to

$$\begin{aligned} A^t A - c^t c &= 1 \\ A^t b - c^t d &= 0 \\ d^2 - b^t b &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

The connected component $\mathrm{SO}(d,1)^0$ consists of all matrices g as above with $d > 0$. The maximal compact subgroup K can be chosen to be $\left(\begin{array}{c|c} \mathrm{SO}(d) & \\ \hline & 1 \end{array}\right)$ and M as $\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} \mathrm{SO}(d-1) & & 0 \\ & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)$. Further, we can choose A and N as follows,

$$A = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & & \\ & \alpha & \beta \\ \hline & \beta & \alpha \end{array}\right) : \alpha > 0, \ \alpha^2 - \beta^2 = 1 \right\},$$

$$N = \left\{ n(x) = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & -x & x \\ x^t & 1 - \frac{|x|^2}{2} & |x|^2/2 \\ \hline x^t & -|x|^2/2 & 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{2} \end{array}\right) : x \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \right\},$$

where we have written $|x|^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_{d-1}^2$. Note that the Lie algebra of A is generated by $H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & 1 \\ & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. One derives an explicit formula for the ANK-decomposition. In particular, if $g = \begin{pmatrix} A & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ and $\underline{a}(g) = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & & \\ & \alpha & \beta \\ \hline & \beta & \alpha \end{array}\right)$, then

$$\underline{a}(g)^\rho = (\alpha + \beta)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} = \left(\frac{(d + b_d)}{1 + b_1^2 + \cdots + b_{d-1}^2} \right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}.$$

The Weyl element representative can be chosen to be

$$w_0 = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc|c} 1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ & & & -1 & & \\ & & & & -1 & \\ \hline & & & & & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

So that with $n = n(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{a}(w_0 n)^\rho &= \underline{a} \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} * & * & x_1 \\ * & * & \vdots \\ * & * & x_{d-2} \\ * & * & -x_{d-1} \\ * & * & -\frac{|x|^2}{2} \\ \hline * & * & 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{2} \end{array}\right)^\rho \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{1 + x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{d-1}^2} \right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

We choose $n_0 = n(1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and get with $a = \exp(tH)$,

$$\underline{a}(w_0 n_0^a n)^\rho = \left(\frac{1}{1 + (e^{-t} + x_1)^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_{d-1}^2} \right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}.$$

With $n = d - 1$ and $a^{-\rho} = e^{-\frac{n}{2}t}$ our assertion boils down to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{n}{2}t}(1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}}(1 + |e^{-t}v_1 + x|^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} dt\, dx \ < \ \infty.$$

Consider first the case $n = 1$ and the integral over $x < 0$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t}(1 + x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1 + (e^{-t} + x)^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dx\, dt$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t}(1 + x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1 + (x - e^{-t})^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dx\, dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{-e^{-t}}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t}(1 + (x + e^{-t})^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1 + (x)^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dx\, dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it suffices to show the convergence of

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t}(1 + x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1 + (x + e^{-t})^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt\, dx.$$

Setting $y = e^{-t}$ we see that this integral equals

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{-1/2}(1 + x^2)^{-1/2}(1 + (x + y)^2)^{-1/2} dy dx \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{x}^{\infty} (y - x)^{-1/2}(1 + x^2)^{-1/2}(1 + y^2)^{-1/2} dy dx \end{aligned}$$

Since the mapping

$$x \mapsto \int_{x}^{\infty} (y - x)^{-1/2}(1 + x^2)^{-1/2}(1 + y^2)^{-1/2} dy$$

is continuous, the integral over $0 < x < 1$ converges. It remains to show the convergence of

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \int_{x}^{\infty} (y - x)^{-1/2}(1 + x^2)^{-1/2}(1 + y^2)^{-1/2} dy dx$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{1}^{\infty} \int_{1}^{\infty} x^{1/2}(v - 1)^{-1/2}(1 + x^2)^{-1/2}(1 + v^2x^2)^{-1/2} dv dx \\ &\leq 3^{-1/2} \int_{1}^{\infty} \int_{1}^{\infty} x^{1/2}(v - 1)^{-1/2}(1 + x^2)^{-1}(1 + v^2)^{-1/2} dv dx \ < \ \infty. \end{aligned}$$

here we have used the substitution $y = vx$ and the fact that for $a, b \geq 1$ one has $(1+a)(1+b) \leq 3(1+ab)$.

Now for the case $n > 1$. Using polar co-ordinates we compute

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-tn/2}(1+x^2+|x_r|^2)^{-n/2}(1+)e^{-t}+x)^2+|x_r|^2)^{-n/2}dt\,dx\,dx_r$$

$$= \ C\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-tn/2}r^{n-2}(1+x^2+r^2)^{-n/2}(1+)e^{-t}+x)^2+r^2)^{-n/2}dt\,dx\,dx_r.$$

As above we can restrict to the case $x > 1$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty y^{\frac{n}{2}-1}r^{n-2}(1+x^2+r^2)^{-n/2}(1+(x+y)^2+r^2)^{-n/2}dydxdr \\ &= \int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty\int_x^\infty (y-x)^{\frac{n}{2}-1}r^{n-2}(1+x^2+r^2)^{-n/2}(1+y^2+r^2)^{-n/2}dydxdr, \end{aligned}$$

which equals

$$\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty\int_1^\infty (v-1)^{\frac{n}{2}-1}x^{n/2}r^{n-2}(1+x^2+r^2)^{-n/2}(1+v^2x^2+r^2)^{-n/2}dydxdr.$$

As above, it suffices to restrict the integration to the domain $x > 1$. So one considers

$$\int_0^\infty\int_1^\infty\int_1^\infty (v-1)^{\frac{n}{2}-1}x^{n/2}r^{n-2}(1+x^2+r^2)^{-n/2}(1+v^2x^2+r^2)^{-n/2}dydxdr.$$

Choose $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$ and write

$$\begin{aligned} (1+x^2+r^2)^{-n/2} &= (1+x^2+r^2)^{\varepsilon-n/2}(1+x^2+r^2)^{-\varepsilon} \\ &\leq (1+r^2)^{\varepsilon-n/2}(1+x^2)^{-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

So our integral is less than or equal to

$$\int_0^\infty r^{n-2}(1+r^2)^{\varepsilon-n/2}dr$$

times

$$\int_1^\infty\int_1^\infty (v-1)^{\frac{n}{2}-1}x^{n/2}(1+x^2)^{-\varepsilon}(1+v^2x^2)^{-n/2}dvdx$$

$$\leq C\int_1^\infty\int_1^\infty (v-1)^{\frac{n}{2}-1}x^{n/2}(1+x^2)^{-\varepsilon}(1+v^2)^{-n/2}(1+x^2)^{-n/2}dvdx \ < \ \infty.$$

$\square$

3 An explicit formula

Let $d \geq 2$ and $G = \mathrm{SO}(d,1)^0$ if $d > 2$. For $d = 2$ let G be the double cover $\tilde{\mathrm{SO}}(2,1)^o \cong \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then $K = \mathrm{SO}(d)$, $M = \mathrm{SO}(d-1)$ for $d > 2$. For $d = 2$ we have $K \cong O(2)$ and $M \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and in all cases we have $M\backslash K \cong S^{d-1}$, the $d-1$ dimensional sphere. For each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ let e_λ be the class one vector in the associated principal series representation π_λ given by $e_\lambda(ank) = a^{\lambda+\rho}$. Let $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ be imaginary. Let $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}$ be the invariant distribution on $L_{(\lambda,\mu,\nu)}$ considered in the last section. We are interested in the growth of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}(e_\lambda, e_\mu, e_\nu)$ as a function in λ. First note that the Killing form induces a norm $|.|$ on $\mathfrak{a}^*$.

We write $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}(\lambda,\mu,\nu)$ for $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}(e_\lambda, e_\mu, e_\nu)$ and identifying $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ via $\lambda \mapsto \lambda(H_0)$ we consider $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}$ as a function on $(i\mathbb{R})^3$.

In this section we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 *For λ, μ, ν imaginary, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}(\lambda,\mu,\nu)$ equals a positive constant times*

$$\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2(\lambda+\mu-\nu)+n}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2(\lambda-\mu+\nu)+n}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2(-\lambda+\mu+\nu)+n}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2(\lambda+\mu+\nu)+n}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2\lambda+n}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2\mu+n}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2\nu+n}{2}\right)},$$

where $n = d-1$. So in particular, for fixed imaginary μ and ν. Then, as $|\lambda|$ tends to infinity, while λ is imaginary, we have the asymptotic

$$|\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}(\lambda,\mu,\nu)| = c\exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}|\lambda|\right)|\lambda|^{\frac{d}{2}-2}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\right)\right),$$

for some constant $c > 0$.

Proof: The asymptotic formula follows from the explicit expression and the well known asymptotical formula,

$$|\Gamma(\sigma + it)| = \sqrt{2\pi}\exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}|t|\right)|t|^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{|t|}\right)\right)$$

as $|t| \to \infty$, where the real part σ is fixed.

Now for the proof of the first assertion. The permutation group S_3 in three letters acts on $(i\mathbb{R})^3$ by permuting the co-ordinates. We claim that $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}$ is invariant under that action. So let $\sigma \in S_3$ and let $f = f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes f_3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}(\sigma(f)) &= \int_G f(\sigma^{-1}(x_0.y))\,dy \\ &= \int_G f(\sigma^{-1}(x_0).y)\,dy \end{aligned}$$

Since the open orbit is unique, there is $y_\sigma \in G$ with $\sigma^{-1}(x_0) = x_0.y_\sigma$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}(\sigma(f)) &= \int_G f(x_0.y_\sigma y)\,dy \\ &= \int_G f(x_0.y)\,dy = \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}(f). \end{aligned}$$

So in particular $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}(\lambda,\mu,\nu)$ is invariant under permutations of (λ,μ,ν).

We have

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}(e_\lambda, e_\mu, e_\nu) = \int_G \underline{a}(w_0 n_0 y)^{\lambda+\rho}\underline{a}(w_0 y)^{\mu+\rho}\underline{a}(y)^{\nu+\rho}\,dy.$$

Replace the integral over G by an integral over ANK using the Iwasawa decomposition. Since $\underline{a}(xk) = \underline{a}(x)$ for $x \in G$ and $k \in K$, the K-factor is irrelevant and we have to compute

$$\int_{AN} \underline{a}(w_0 n_0 an)^{\lambda+\rho}\underline{a}(w_0 an)^{\mu+\rho} a^{\nu+\rho}\,dadn.$$

Note that $w_0 a = a^{-1} w_0$ and so we have $\underline{a}(w_0 an)^\rho = a^{-\rho}\underline{a}(w_0 n)$ as well as $\underline{a}(w_0 n_0 an) = a^{-\rho}\underline{a}(w_0 n_0^a n)$, where $n_0^a = a^{-1} n_0 a$. So the integral equals

$$\int_{AN} a^{\nu-\lambda-\mu-\rho}\underline{a}(w_0 n_0^a n)^{\lambda+\rho}\underline{a}(w_0 n)^{\mu+\rho}\,da\,dn.$$

Using the explicit approach of the last section we see that $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}(\lambda,\mu,\nu)$ equals

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} e^{t\left(\nu-\lambda-\mu-\frac{d-1}{2}\right)}(1+|e^{-t}v_1+x|^2)^{-\lambda-\frac{d-1}{2}}(1+|x|^2)^{-\mu-\frac{d-1}{2}}\,dx\,dt,$$

where $v_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$.

Set $n = d - 1 = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and use polar co-ordinates to compute for $n > 1$, that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{t(\nu-\lambda-\mu-\frac{n}{2})}(1 + |e^{-t}v_1 + x|^2)^{-\lambda-\frac{n}{2}}(1 + |x|^2)^{-\mu-\frac{n}{2}} dx\, dt$$

equals $(n-1)\mathrm{vol}(B_{n-1})$ times

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^\infty r^{n-2} e^{t(\nu-\lambda-\mu-\frac{n}{2})}(1 + (e^{-t}+x)^2 + r^2)^{-\lambda-\frac{n}{2}}(1 + x^2 + r^2)^{-\mu-\frac{n}{2}} dr\, dx\, dt.$$

Define $I_n(a, b, c)$ to be

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^\infty r^{n-2} e^{t(c-a-b)}(1 + (e^{-t}+x)^2 + r^2)^{-a}(1 + x^2 + r^2)^{-b} dr\, dx\, dt,$$

and $I_1(a, b, c)$ to be equal to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{t(c-a-b)}(1 + (e^{-t}+x)^2)^{-a}(1 + x^2)^{-b} dr\, dx\, dt.$$

Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = c_n I_n\left(\lambda + \frac{n}{2}, \mu + \frac{n}{2}, \nu + \frac{n}{2}\right),$$

where $c_1 = 1$ and $c_n = (n-1)\mathrm{vol}(B_{n-1})$ for $n > 1$. So in particular, I_n is invariant under permutations of the arguments.

Note that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[(1 + (e^{-t}+x)^2 + r^2)^{-a}(1 + x^2 + r^2)^{-b}\right]$$

equals

$$\begin{aligned} &-2ar(1 + (e^{-t}+x)^2 + r^2)^{-a-1}(1 + x^2 + r^2)^{-b} \\ &\quad -2br(1 + (e^{-t}+x)^2 + r^2)^{-a}(1 + x^2 + r^2)^{-b-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and $r^{n-2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[\frac{r^{n-1}}{n-1}\right]$. So, integrating by parts, for $n > 1$ we compute

$$I_n(a, b, c) = \frac{2a}{n-1} I_{n+2}(a+1, b, c+1) + \frac{2b}{n-1} I_{n+2}(a, b+1, c+1). \tag{1}$$

To get a similar result for I_1 note that

$$(1+(e^{-t}+x)^2)^{-a}(1+x^2)^{-b}$$

equals

$$\begin{aligned} &-(1+(e^{-t}+x)^2+r^2)^{-a}(1+x^2+r^2)^{-b}|_{r=0}^{r=\infty} \\ =&-\int_0^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[(1+(e^{-t}+x)^2+r^2)^{-a}(1+x^2+r^2)^{-b}\right]\,dr. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$I_1(a,b,c)=2aI_3(a+1,b,c+1)+2bI_3(a,b+1,c+1). \tag{2}$$

Let $I_n'(a,b,c)$ be the same as $I_n(a,b,c)$ except that there is a factor x in the integrand, i.e.,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_0^\infty r^{n-2}e^{t(c-a-b)}(1+(e^{-t}+x)^2+r^2)^{-a}(1+x^2+r^2)^{-b}\,x\,dr\,dx\,dt.$$

Replacing x with $-x$ first and then with $x+e^{-t}$ yields

$$I_n'(a,b,c)=\,-I_n'(b,a,c)-I_n(b,a,c-1). \tag{3}$$

The last equation also holds for $n=1$. Integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{t(c-a-b)}(1+(e^{-t}+x)^2+r^2)^{-a}\,dt \\ =&\frac{2a}{a+b-c}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{t(c-a-b)}(1+(e^{-t}+x)^2+r^2)^{-a-1}(e^{-2t}+xe^{-t})\,dt. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$I_n(a,b,c)=\frac{2a}{a+b-c}(I_n(a+1,b,c-1)+I_n'(a+1,b,c)).$$

Using (3) this yields

$$I_n(a,b,c)=\frac{2a}{c-a-b}I_n'(b,a+1,c),$$

or

$$I_n'(x,y,z)=\frac{z-x-y+1}{2y-2}I_n(y-1,x,z). \tag{4}$$

Plugging this into (3) one gets

$$\frac{c-a-b+1}{2b-2} I_n(b-1,a,c) \;=\; \frac{a+b-c-1}{2a-2} I_n(a-1,b,c) - I_n(b,a,c-1).$$

Replacing (a,b,c) with $(a+1,b+1,c+1)$ this gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{c-a-b}{2b} I_n(b,a+1,c+1) \\ &= \frac{a+b-c}{2a} I_n(a,b+1,c+1) - I_n(b+1,a+1,c), \end{aligned}$$

or, using the invariance of I_n,

$$\frac{c-b-a}{2ab}\left(aI_n(a+1,b,c+1) + bI_n(a,b+1,c+1)\right) = -\,I_n(a+1,b+1,c),$$

and hence

$$aI_{n+2}(a+1,b,c+1) + bI_{n+2}(a,b+1,c+1) = \frac{2ab}{a+b-c} I_{n+2}(a+1,b+1,c).$$

Using (1) and (2) one gets for $n>1$,

$$I_n(a,b,c) = \frac{4ab}{a+b-c}\frac{1}{n-1} I_{n+2}(a+1,b+1,c),$$

and

$$I_1(a,b,c) = \frac{4ab}{a+b-c} I_3(a+1,b+1,c).$$

Finally we arrive at

$$I_{n+2}(a+1,b+1,c+1) = \frac{a+b-c-1}{4ab}(n-1) I_n(a,b,c+1) \tag{5}$$

for $n>1$ and

$$I_3(a+1,b+1,c+1) = \frac{a+b-c-1}{4ab} I_1(a,b,c+1). \tag{6}$$

These formulae amount to

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}^{n+2}(\lambda,\mu,\nu) = d_n \frac{\lambda+\mu-\nu+\frac{n}{2}-1}{(2\lambda+n)(2\mu+n)} \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}^{n}(\lambda,\mu,\nu+1),$$

where $d_n = (n-1)c_{n+2}/c_n$ if $n > 1$ and $d_1 = c_3$. A calculation using the functional equation of the Gamma-function, $\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z)$, shows that the claim of the lemma for n implies the same claim for $n+2$. Note that the formula A.5 in [1] implies the lemma for $n = 1$, where one has to take into account that in [1] a different normalisation is used. To get our formula from theirs, one has to replace λ_j by $-2\lambda_j$ in [1]. To finish the proof of the lemma it remains to show the claim for $n = 2$.

To achieve this, we proceed in a fashion similar to [1]. First note that the group $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is a double cover of $\mathrm{SO}(3,1)^0$, so we might as well use this group for the computation. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ let V_λ denote the space of all smooth functions f on $\mathbb{C}^2$ with $f(az, aw) = |a|^{-2(\lambda+1)} f(z,w)$ for every $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Then $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ acts on the space V_λ via $\pi_\lambda(g)f(z,w) = f((z,w)g)$. This is the principal series representation with parameter λ. For $\lambda = 1$ there is a G-invariant continuous linear functional $\text{Ł}\colon V_1 \to \mathbb{C}$, which is unique up to scalars and is given by

$$\text{Ł}(f) = \int_{S^3} f(x)\, dx,$$

where the integral runs over the standard sphere $S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ with the volume element induced by the standard metric on $\mathbb{C}^2 \cong \mathbb{R}^4$. Since S^3 equals $(0,1)K$, where $K = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ is the maximal compact subgroup of G, the theory of induced representations shows that this functional is indeed invariant under G and is unique with that property. If λ is imaginary, then the representation π_λ is pre-unitary, the inner product being given by $\langle f, g\rangle = \text{Ł}(f\bar{g})$. To compute $\mathcal{T}^2_{\mathrm{st}}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$, we will describe this functional on the space $V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2} \otimes V_{\lambda_3}$. Let $\omega\colon \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ be given by $\omega(a,b) = a_1b_2 - a_2b_1$ the bilinear G-invariant on $\mathbb{C}^2$. Let $K_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}(v_1, v_2, v_3)$ be the invariant kernel

$$|\omega(v_1,v_2)|^{(-\lambda_1+\lambda_2+\lambda_3-1)}|\omega(v_1,v_3)|^{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2+\lambda_3-1)}|\omega(v_2,v_3)|^{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2-\lambda_3-1)}.$$

This kernel is invariant under the diagonal action of G and is homogeneous of degree $2(\lambda_j - 1)$ with respect to the variable v_j. From this point the computations run like in [1] A.4 and A.5. The theorem follows. $\square$

A Automorphic coefficients

Let $\Gamma \subset G$ be a uniform lattice and consider the right regular representation of G on $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$, which decomposes as a direct sum,

$$L^2(\Gamma\backslash G) = \bigoplus_{\pi\in\hat{G}} L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)(\pi),$$

where the isotypic component $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)(\pi)$ is zero for π outside a countable set of $\pi \in \hat{G}$ and is always isomorphic to a sum of finitely many copies of π. By the Sobolev lemma one has $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)^\infty \subset C^\infty(\Gamma\backslash G)$. A function φ is called *pure*, if $\varphi \in L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)(\pi)$ for some $\pi \in \hat{G}$. For three pure smooth $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3$ the integral $\int_{\Gamma\backslash G} \varphi_1(x)\varphi_2(x)\varphi_3(x)\,dx$ exists. Moreover, fix $\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3 \in \hat{G}$ and fix G-equivariant embeddings $\sigma_j \colon V_{\pi_j} \to L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$, then

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{aut}}(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \int_{\Gamma\backslash G} \sigma_1(v_1)(x)\sigma_2(v_2)(x)\sigma_3(v_3)(x)\,dx$$

defines a G-invariant continuous trilinear form on $V_{\pi_1}^\infty \times V_{\pi_2}^\infty \times V_{\pi_3}^\infty$. Let $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)^K$ be the space of all K-invariant vectors in $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$. Then there is an orthonormal basis $(\varphi_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of pure vectors in $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)^K$. For each i let L_i be the G-stable closed subspace of $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$ generated by φ_i. Then the spaces L_i are mutually orthogonal.

For fixed pure normalized $\varphi, \varphi' \in L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)^K$ we are interested in the growth of the sequence

$$c_i \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{T}_{\text{aut}}(\varphi_i, \varphi, \varphi').$$

Let (λ_i, μ, ν) be the induction parameters of the representations given by $(\varphi_i, \varphi, \varphi')$. First note that the numbers c_i decay exponentially in $|\lambda_i|$. So we define the renormalized sequence

$$b_i \overset{\text{def}}{=} |c_i|^2 \exp(\pi|\lambda_i|).$$

In [1] it is hown that for $d = 2$ one has

$$\sum_{|\lambda_i|\le T} b_i \le C \log T,$$

and in [4], Theorem 7.6, that for $d \geq 3$,

$$\sum_{|\lambda_i| \leq T} b_i \;\leq\; CT^{2(d-2)}.$$

We are going to reprove this result for $d \geq 4$.

Theorem A.1 *Let $d \geq 4$. There is $C > 0$ such that for every $T > 0$,*

$$\sum_{|\lambda_i| \leq T} b_i \;\leq\; C\,T^{2(d-2)}.$$

Proof: By the uniqueness of trilinear forms there exists a constant a_i such that

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{aut}}(\varphi_i, \varphi, \varphi') = a_i \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}(\varphi_i, \varphi, \varphi').$$

This constant depends on the embeddings of $\pi_\lambda, \pi_\nu, \pi_\mu$ into $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$, but we can normalize these embeddings by insisting that the standard class one vectors e_λ, e_μ, e_ν are mapped to $\varphi_i, \varphi, \varphi'$. Thus the number a_i only depends on $\varphi_i, \varphi, \varphi'$.

Fix two smooth pre-unitary G-representations V, V' and let L, L' be their Hilbert completions. Consider the unitary representation of $G \times G$ on $L \otimes L'$ and let $E = (L \otimes L')^\infty$ be its smooth part. Denote by $\mathcal{H}(E)$ the real vector space of all Hermitian forms on E and by $\mathcal{H}^+(E)$ the cone of non-negative forms.

Let W be a smooth pre-unitary admissible representation of G. A G-invariant functional $\mathcal{T}\colon W \otimes V \otimes V' \to \mathbb{C}$ defines a G-equivariant linear map $l_{\mathcal{T}}\colon V \otimes V' \to W^*$ which extends to a G-map $l_{\mathcal{T}}\colon E \to \bar{W}$, where $\bar{W}$ is the space W with complex conjugate linear structure. The Hermitian form H_W on W induces a form $H_{\bar{W}}$ on $\bar{W}$. Define a Hermitian form $H_{\mathcal{T}}$ on E by $H_{\mathcal{T}} = l_{\mathcal{T}}^* H_{\bar{W}}$, i.e., for $u, v \in E$ one has $H_{\mathcal{T}}(u, v) = H_{\bar{W}}(l_{\mathcal{T}}(u), l_{\mathcal{T}}(v))$. Finally, suppose that $W = V_\lambda, V, V'$ are all principal series representations and let $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}$ be the standard trilinear form then we write H_λ^{st} for the Hermitian form induced on E. We also assume that V_λ, V, V' are cuspidal, i.e., that fixed embeddings into $L^{2,\infty}$ are given. Then the cuspidal trilinear form $\mathcal{T}$ induces a Hermitian form H_λ^{aut} on E.

Next consider the space $L^{2,\infty}(\Gamma\backslash G \times \Gamma\backslash G)$. Let H_Δ denote the Hermitian form on $L^{2,\infty}(\Gamma\backslash G \times \Gamma\backslash G)$ given by restriction to the diagonal, i.e.,

$$H_\Delta(u,v) = \int_{\Gamma\backslash G} u(x,x)\overline{v(x,x)}\,dx.$$

Let U be a Hilbert space with Hermitian form H. For a closed subspace L of U let Pr_L denote the orthogonal projection from U to L and let H_L be the Hermitian form on U given by

$$H_L(u,v) = H(Pr_L(u), Pr_L(v)).$$

The map $L \mapsto H_L$ is additive and monotonic, i.e., $H_{L+L'} = H_L + H_{L'}$ if L and L' are orthogonal and $H_L \leq H_{L'}$ if $L \subset L'$.

Lemma A.2 *On the space E one has*

$$\sum_i |a_i|^2 H^{\mathrm{st}}_{\lambda_i} \;\leq\; H_\Delta.$$

Proof: By the uniqueness it follows $H^{\mathrm{aut}}_{\lambda_i} = |a_i|^2 H^{\mathrm{st}}_{\lambda_i}$ and since all the spaces L_i are orthogonal one also deduces $\sum_i H^{\mathrm{aut}}_{\lambda_i} \leq H_\Delta$. □

The group $G \times G$ acts on $L^{2,\infty}(\Gamma\backslash G \times \Gamma\backslash G)$ and thus on the real vector space of Hermitian forms on $L^{2,\infty}(\Gamma\backslash G \times \Gamma\backslash G)$. By integration, this action extends to a representation of the convolution algebra $C_c^\infty(G\times G)$. Note that a non-negative function $h \in C_c^\infty(G\times G)$ will preserve to cone of non-negative Hermitian forms.

Lemma A.3 *Let $h \in C_c^\infty(G \times G)$ be non-negative. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$h.H_\Delta \;\leq\; C H_{\mathrm{aut}},$$

where H_{aut} is the L^2-Hermitian form on $L^{2,\infty}(\Gamma\backslash G \times \Gamma\backslash G)$.

Proof: The form $h.H_\Delta$ is given by integration over a smooth measure on $\Gamma\backslash G \times \Gamma\backslash G$. Being smooth, this measure is bounded by a multiple of the invariant measure. □

A *positive functional* on $\mathcal{H}(E)$ is an additive map $\rho\colon \mathcal{H}^+(E) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$. For example, every vector $u \in E$ gives rise to a positive functional δ_u defined as

$$\delta_u(H) = H(u,u).$$

Every positive functional is monotonic and homogeneous, i.e.,

$$\rho(H) \ \leq \ \rho(H') \quad \text{if} \ \ H \leq H',$$

and

$$\rho(tH) = t\rho(H) \quad \text{for} \ \ t > 0.$$

Let ρ be a positive functional and let $h_\rho(\lambda) = \rho(H_\lambda^{\mathrm{st}})$. Then Lemma A.2 implies

$$\sum_i h_\rho(\lambda_i)|a_i|^2 \ \leq \ \rho(H_\Delta).$$

Proposition A.4 *There are constants $T_0, C > 0$ such that for every $T \geq T_0$ there is a positive functional ρ_T on $\mathcal{H}(E)$ with*

$$\rho_T(H_\Delta) \ \leq \ CT^{\dim(M\backslash K)+1},$$

and with $h_T = h_{\rho_T}$ one has $h_T(\lambda) \geq \frac{1}{C}$ for every $|\lambda| \leq 2T$.

Proof: Let D be a relatively compact open subset of G with $g \in D_1 \Rightarrow g^{-1} \in D$. Assume further that D is invariant under right and left translations by elements of K. Let $h \in C_c^\infty(G \times G)$ such that h is constantly one on $D \times D$ and such that h is invariant under left and right translates by elements of K. the space E can be identified with $C^\infty(M\backslash K \times M\backslash K)$. Fix a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on the Lie algebra of AN.

Note that the map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \psi\colon A \times N \times M & \to & P\backslash G \times P\backslash G \\ (a,n,m) & \mapsto & (w_0anm, w_0n_0anm) \end{array}$$

is a diffeomorphism onto an open subset of $(P\backslash G)^2 \cong (M\backslash K)^2$. Let $F = \psi(1 \times 1 \times M) =$ the M-orbit of the point (w_0, w_0n_0). Let $C_1, T > 0$ be large and set

$$S = \left\{ \psi(a,n,m) : \|\log an\| < \frac{1}{C_1T}, m \in M \right\}.$$

Let u_T be a nonnegative smooth function on $(M\backslash K)^2$ with support in S such that

$$\int u\,dxdy = 1, \qquad \int |u|^2\,dxdy \ \le\ T^{\dim(M\backslash K)+1}.$$

It is possible to construct such a function since the codimension of F equals $\dim(M\backslash K)+1$. With these data define a positive functional ρ_T on $\mathcal{H}(E)$ by

$$\rho_T(H) = \delta_{u_T}(h.H).$$

Then one has

$$\rho_T(H_\Delta) = \rho_u(h.H_\Delta) \ \le\ C'\rho_u(H_{st}) \ \le\ CT^{\dim(M\backslash K)+1}.$$

Recall the standard trilinear form $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}$ on $V_\lambda \otimes V \otimes V'$ and the corresponding linear map $l_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}}\colon V \otimes V' \to \bar{V}_\lambda$. We identify the space $\bar{V}_\lambda$ with $C^\infty(M\backslash K)$ and let z denote the point $M1 \in M\backslash K$. The Dirac measure δ_z at z is a continuous linear functional on $C^\infty(M\backslash K)$. We get an induced Hermitian form H_z on E defined by

$$H_z(u,v) = l_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}}(u)(z)\overline{l_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}}(v)(z)}.$$

Lemma A.5 *On E one has*

$$H_\lambda^{\mathrm{st}} = \int_K (k,k).H_z\,dk.$$

Proof: This follows from the fact that the invariant Hermitian form on $\bar{V}_\lambda$ is equal to $\int_K \pi(k)\tilde{H}_z\,dk$, where $\tilde{H}_z$ is the Hermitian form on $\bar{V}_\lambda$ given by $\tilde{H}_z(u,v) = u(z)\overline{v(z)}$. □

Since the test function h was assumed to be $K \times K$-invariant it follows that

$$h_T(\lambda) = \rho_T(H_\lambda^{\mathrm{st}}) = \delta_u(h.H_z).$$

So in order to establish a lower bound for $\rho_T(H_\lambda^{\mathrm{st}})$ it suffices to give a lower bound for $\delta_u(x.H_z) = |\,\langle \pi(x)f_z, u\rangle\,|^2$ for $x \in D$, where f_z is the linear functional on E given by $f_z(u) = \delta_z(l_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}}(u))$.

Lemma A.6 *There is $C > 0$ such that for T_0 large enough there exists an open, non-empty subset $D_0 \subset D$ such that for $T \geq T_0$, $|\lambda| \leq T$, and $x \in D_0$ we have $|\langle \pi(x) f_z, u_T \rangle| \geq \frac{1}{C}$.*

Proof: Note first that with $x = ank \in G$ we have

$$\langle \pi(x) f_z, u_T \rangle = \int_{(M\backslash K)^2} u_T(k_2, k_3) \phi(k, k_2^{ank}, k_3^{ank}) \, dk_2 dk_3.$$

We next derive an explicit formula for ϕ on the open orbit. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{st}}(f) &= \int_G f(y, w_) y, w_0 n_0 y) \, dy \\ &= \int_{ANK} f(ank, w_0 ank, w_0 n_0 ank) \, da \, dn \, dk, \end{aligned}$$

and that the last integrand equals

$$a^{\lambda+\rho} \underline{a}(w_0 an)^{\mu+\rho} \underline{a}(w_0 n_0 an)^{\nu+\rho} f(k, \underline{k}(w_0 an)k, \underline{k}(w_0 n_0 an)k) \, da \, dn \, dk.$$

We deduce that

$$\phi(k, \underline{k}(w_0 an)k, \underline{k}(w_0 n_0 an)k) = a^{\lambda+\rho} \underline{a}(w_0 an)^{\mu+\rho} \underline{a}(w_0 n_0 an)^{\nu+\rho} W(an),$$

where W is the Radon-Nykodim derivative of *dadndk* against the measure on $(M\backslash K)^3$. In particular, this function is positive and smooth and does not depend on λ. It follows that the functional $\pi(x) f_z$ is given by integration against the function

$$\pi(ank) f_z(w_0 a' n' m', w_0 n_0 a' n' m') = \phi(k, w_0 a a' n'' m' k, w_0 n_0 a a' n'' m' k),$$

where $n'' = a^{-1} n' a \, m' n (m')^{-1}$. Note that $Mk = Mm'k$ and so the above equals

$$(aa')^{\lambda+\rho} \underline{a}(w_0 a a' n'')^{\mu+\rho} \underline{a}(w_0 n_0 a a' n'')^{\nu+\rho} W(aa'n'').$$

Note in particular, that the function $\pi(x) f_z$ is invariant under M.

The set S is a tubular neighbourhood of the compact set F. We have natural local coordinates with F-directions, which can be identified with open parts of M-orbits and $F^{\perp}$-directions, which can be viewed as AN-orbits. The above formula shows that the gradient of $\pi(x) f_z$ is zero along the M-orbits.

Along the AN-orbits this gradient is bounded by a constant times T, if we leave μ and ν fixed and restrict to $|\lambda| \le T$. Let $C_0 > 0$ be large and let $D_0 \subset D$ be the subset of elements $x \in D$ such that $|\pi(x) f_z| < C_0$. This set is open and nonempty if C_0 is large enpough and it does not depend on λ.

Lemma A.6 implies Proposition A.4 and the latter implies Theorem A.1 as follows.

Consider the inequality $\sum_i |a_i|^2 \rho_T(H^{\rm st}_{\lambda_i}) \le \rho_T(H_\Delta)$. By Proposition A.4 the right hand side is bounded by $CT^{2\dim(M\backslash K)}$ and since $\dim M\backslash K = \dim P\backslash G = \dim N = d-1$ we get

$$\sum_i |a_i|^2 \rho_T(H^{\rm st}_{\lambda_i}) \ \le \ CT^d.$$

Restricting the sum to those i with $|\lambda_i| \le 2T$ and using the second assertion of Proposition A.4 we get

$$\sum_{|\lambda_i| \le T} |a_i|^2 \ \le \ CT^d.$$

According to Theorem 3.1 we have $b_i |\lambda_i|^{4-d} \sim |a_i|^2$ and so

$$\sum_{|\lambda_i| \le T} b_i |\lambda_i|^{4-d} \ \le \ CT^d.$$

This implies the theorem. □

References

[1] **Bernstein, J.; Reznikov, A.:** *Estimates of automorphic functions.* Mosc. Math. J. 4 , 19-37, (2004).

[2] **Helgason, S.:** *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces.* Academic Press 1978.

[3] **Knapp, A.:** *Representation Theory of Semisimple Lie Groups.* Princeton University Press 1986.

[4] **Krötz, B.; Stanton, R.:** *Holomorphic extensions of representations. I. Automorphic functions.* Ann. of Math. (2) 159, no. 2, 641-724 (2004).

[5] **Loke, H. Y.:** *Trilinear forms of* $\mathfrak{gl}_2$. Pacific J. Math. 197, no. 1, 119-144 (2001).

[6] **Molchanov, V. F.:** *Tensor products of unitary representations of the three-dimensional Lorentz group.* Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 43, no. 4, 860-891, 967 (1979).

[7] **Oksak, A. I.:** *Trilinear Lorentz invariant forms.* Comm. Math. Phys. 29, 189-217 (1973).

[8] **Wallach, N.:** *Real Reductive Groups I.* Academic Press 1988.

Mathematisches Institut
Auf der Morgenstelle 10
72076 Tübingen
Germany
`deitmar@uni-tuebingen.de`